

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address (\*\* AMMISSI-NER FOR PATENTS POSTRALICS (\*\*) Alexadra Vegina 22313/1159 www.iisplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	A FIORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09 888,741	06 25 2001	Gene F. Lightner		9781
75	90 08 21 2003			
Gene E. Lightner		EXAMINER		
706 S.W. 296th Federal Way, W			DAVIS, RUTH A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	
			DATE MAILED: 08-21-2003	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4	Application No. Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/888,741	LIGHTNER, GENE E.				
Advisory Notion	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ruth A. Davis	1651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 31 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amounth that the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extensior originally set in the final Office action; or	ı			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) $\square$ they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b						
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449)	·				
10. Other:						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims remain vague and indefinite as per the previous office action. In addition, the references are ceratinly analogous to applicant's claimed invention, and render the claimed method obvious for the reasons stated in the previous office action.

PRIMARY EXAMINER